

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
THURSDAY, MARCH 12, 2009  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S052288****PEOPLE v. HAMILTON  
(BERNARD LEE)**

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to May 22, 2009, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

**S034800****PEOPLE v. DEHOYOS  
(RICHARD LUCIO)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Annie Featherman Fraser's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by March 15, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 15, 2009. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

**S039894****PEOPLE v. SATTIEWHITE  
(CHRISTOPHER JAMES)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Peter R. Hensley's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by December 7, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 4, 2009. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days are contemplated.

**S055856****PEOPLE v. ROMERO  
(ORLANDO GENE) & SELF  
(CHRISTOPHER)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael P. Goldstein's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Orlando Gene Romero's reply brief by April 17, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 15, 2009. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 330 additional days are contemplated.

**S057242****PEOPLE v. SPENCER  
(CHRISTOPHER ALAN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Emry J. Allen's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by May 5, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 5, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S076334****PEOPLE v. ARISMAN (DAVID  
WAYNE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Timothy M. Weiner's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by May 9, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 11, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S078895****PEOPLE v. SIVONGXXAY  
(VAENE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Wilbur H. Haines III's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 1, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 8, 2009. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days are contemplated.

**S089619****PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ  
(FRANCISCO JAY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 12, 2009.

**S092240****PEOPLE v. BOYCE (KEVIN  
DEWAYN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Douglas Ward's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by mid-December 2009,

counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 18, 2009. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days are contemplated.

**S092615****PEOPLE v. DEEN (OMAR  
RICHARD)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 18, 2009.

**S097886****PEOPLE v. ZARAGOZA  
(LOUIS RANGEL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 8, 2009.

**S115284****PEOPLE v. TRINH (DUNG  
DINH ANH)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 18, 2009.

**S118629****PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS, JR.,  
(ROBERT LEE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 12, 2009.

**S119296****PEOPLE v. BATTLE  
(THOMAS LEE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 12, 2009.

**S143058****RICCARDI (JOHN  
ALEXANDER) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Carla J. Johnson's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by May 4, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 4, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S156659****PRIETO (ALFREDO) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Statia Peakheart's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by April 2, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 2, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S162508****ALLEN (MICHAEL  
DAMONE) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Stephen S. Buckley's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 1, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 11, 2009. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 170 additional days are contemplated.

**S164174**      H030444 Sixth Appellate District**SIMPSON STRONG-TIE  
COMPANY, INC. v. GORE  
(PIERCE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to the amicus curiae briefs is extended to April 22, 2009. No further extensions of time are contemplated.

**S165190****VAUGHN (PAUL F.) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it ordered that the time to serve and file the petitioner's reply to the informal response is hereby extended to March 30, 2009.

**S166304****KUNKEL (PATRICK) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to April 1, 2009.

**S166435**

A116798 First Appellate District, Div. 2

**CLAYWORTH (JAMES) v.  
PFIZER, INC.**

Extension of time granted

On joint application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file a single answer brief on the merits is extended to May 11, 2009.

**S167051**

B198165 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

**PEOPLE v. PEREZ  
(RODRIGO)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief on the merits is hereby extended to March 16, 2009.

Based on the representation of appellant's counsel Eric R. Larson that he will not be requesting any further extensions of time, no further extensions are contemplated.

**S171158**

F057066 Fifth Appellate District

**TROVAO (ANTHONY) v. S.C.  
(PEOPLE)**

Order filed

To allow consideration of the petition for review filed herein, the February 13, 2009, order of the Tulare County Superior Court in *People v. Trovao, et al.*, No. VCF 214527, directing defense counsel to turn over videotapes to the prosecution, is hereby stayed pending further order of this court.

**S169612****LEVIE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that W. IAIN ELDER LEVIE, State Bar Number 152175, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for the first 12 months of probation. The court orders that W. IAIN ELDER LEVIE comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on

November 12, 2008. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he must remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Standard 1.4 (c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.) The court also orders that W. IAIN ELDER LEVIE comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S169621****GRAY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CHRISTINE ANN GRAY, State Bar No. 154209, be suspended from the practice of law for one year and until she repays the loan obtained from Vernita Laws in May 2005, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 13, 2008. The court orders that CHRISTINE ANN GRAY take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and one-third of said costs be paid with membership fees for the years 2010, 2011, and 2012. It is further ordered that if CHRISTINE ANN GRAY fails to pay any installment of disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 286). The payment of costs is enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S169623****GLASER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DANIEL SCOTT GLASER, State Bar Number 172056, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for the first ninety days of probation and until he makes restitution to the State Bar's Client Security Fund in the amount of \$1,000 plus 10 percent interest per annum from January 6, 2005, and costs (in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and to David R. Lucchese in the amount of \$2,890 plus 10 percent interest per annum from April 8, 2005 (or to the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Lucchese, plus interest and costs, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof

of such restitution to the State Bar's Office of Probation. Any restitution to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d). The court orders that, if his actual suspension continues for two or more years, DANIEL SCOTT GLASER is to remain on actual suspension until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law. Standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.) The court orders that DANIEL SCOTT GLASER comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed October 24, 2008. The court orders that DANIEL SCOTT GLASER take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension whichever is later unless he has already provided proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation that he has taken and passed the examination within two years before the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) The court also orders that DANIEL SCOTT GLASER comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S169624****DARROW ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that GERALDINE DARROW, State Bar No. 84548, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 6, 2008, as modified by its order filed on November 5, 2008. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and one-third of said costs be paid with membership fees for the years 2010, 2011, and 2012. It is further ordered that if respondent fails to pay any installment of disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 286). The payment of costs is enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S169626****TABIBIAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that SEAN TABIBIAN, State Bar Number 207447, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 5, 2008. The court orders that SEAN TABIBIAN take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S169627****OUZOUNIAN ON  
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that TAMAR OUZOUNIAN, State Bar Number 225308, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law (standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct), that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for two years on condition that she be actually suspended for the first ninety days of probation. The court orders that TAMAR OUZOUNIAN comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 5, 2008. The court orders that TAMAR OUZOUNIAN take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) The court also orders that TAMAR OUZOUNIAN comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S169628****STRICK ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LAURENCE DAVID STRICK, State Bar Number 75097, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. The court also orders that LAURENCE DAVID STRICK comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).) Costs



are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

